arXiv:0907.2767v1 [math.NT] 16 Jul 2009

The functional equation for the twisted spinor-L-function of genus 2

Martin Raum and Aloys Krieg

Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik
RWTH Aachen University, Germany

July 16, 2009

Abstract

We prove a functional equation of the convolution of two paramodular forms of genus 2. An important application is the functional equation for the twist spinor L-function of arbitrary Siegel cusp eigenforms of genus 2 with a primitive Dirichlet character.

1 Introduction

The analytic continuation and functional equation of the spinor-L-function of Siegel cusp forms is conjectured for arbitrary genus n and was proved for $n = 2$ by Andrianov (cf. [1]). Kohnen and Skoruppa showed that this L-function is proportional to a Dirichlet series built from the Petersson scalar products of the Fourier-Jacobi coefficients f_1 whenever the first Fourier-Jacobi coefficient does not vanish (cf. [6]). The latter condition was removed by Gritsenko [2]. In [5] and [7] the functional equation for twists with a primitive Dirichlet character was derived under the assumption that $f_1 \neq 0$. In this paper we derive the general case allowing $f_1 = 0$. There for we follow the more general approach of a Dirichlet series for paramodular forms.

2 A normal extension of the paramodular group

We first introduce some notation. If $M \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is arbitrary we write $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{R}^{(n,n)}$. The matrices A, B, C, D are written $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & a_1 \\ a_2^{\mathrm{tr}} & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ etc.. Here $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1,n-1)}$, $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote $\tilde{M}, \hat{M}$ analogously.

Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define three subgroups of the Siegel modular group Γ_n.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{n,1} &:= \{M \in \Gamma_n \,:\, c_2 = d_2 = 0,\, \gamma = 0,\, \delta = 1\}, \\ \Gamma_{n,1}\left(N, N^2/\kappa\right) &:= \left\{M \in \Gamma_n \,:\, c_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \ (N),\, \gamma \equiv 0 \ \left(N^2/\kappa\right)\right\}, \\ \Gamma^1_{n,1}\left(N, N^2/\kappa\right) &:= \left\{M \in \Gamma_{n,1}\left(N, N^2/\kappa\right) \,:\, \delta \equiv \pm 1 \ (N)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma^{(t)}_{2,1}\left(N\tau, (N\tau)^2/\kappa\right)$ be the paramodular group

$$\Gamma^{(t)}_2 := \{M \in \mathbb{Z}^{(4,4)} \,:\, M J_t M^{tr} = J_t\},\ J_t = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & 1 & \\ & & & t \\ \hline -1 & & & \\ & -t & & \end{array}\right).$$

with analog divisibility conditions imposed on the last row.

If $d \mid t$, $\gcd(d, \frac{t}{d}) = 1$, $xd - y\frac{t}{d} = 1$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{2(n-1)}$ we will use

$$M_\eta := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} I & & & \\ & 1 & & \eta \\ \hline & & I & \\ & & & 1 \end{array}\right), \qquad W_\eta := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} & & I & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\eta} \\ \hline -I & & & \\ & -\eta & & \end{array}\right), \quad J := W_1,$$

$$D_\eta := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} I & & & \\ & \eta & & \\ \hline & & I & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\eta} \end{array}\right), \qquad P_{d,t} := \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} I & & & & & \\ & x\sqrt{d} & -\frac{t}{\sqrt{d}} & & & \\ & -\frac{y}{\sqrt{d}} & \sqrt{d} & & & \\ \hline & & & I & & \\ & & & & \sqrt{d} & \frac{y}{\sqrt{d}} \\ & & & & \frac{t}{\sqrt{d}} & x\sqrt{d} \end{array}\right),$$

$$M_\lambda := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} A_\lambda & & B_\lambda & \\ & 1 & & \\ \hline C_\lambda & & D_\lambda & \\ & & & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Here $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_\lambda & B_\lambda \\ C_\lambda & D_\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_{n-1}$ is an arbitray but fixed matrix which has last row λ^{tr}.

Let p be a prime and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime to p. Furthermore suppose that $\kappa \mid N$. Recall $\Gamma_2^{(p)*}$ to be a normal extenstion of the paramodular group $\Gamma_2^{(p)}$ (cf. [3]).

We define a similar extension of its subgroup given above. To do so, choose $p^* \in \mathbb{N}$ to be an inverse of p mod N and $x,\ y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $xp - y = 1$, e.g. $x = 1$, $y = p - 1$. Let us consider

$$H_p(N) := \begin{pmatrix} U^{-\mathrm{tr}} & \\ & U \end{pmatrix} P_{p,p}\,, \qquad \text{where} \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p^*p & -1 \\ -p^*p & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} U^{-\mathrm{tr}} & \\ & U \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ belongs to the paramodular group and $P_{p,p}$ usually is used to define a normal extension of this group. Since $N \mid (\sqrt{p} \cdot H_p(N))_{4,3}$ we may use $H_p(N)$ to define an extension of $\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)}(Np, pN^2/\kappa)$.

Definition 1. *We set*

$$\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)*}\left(Np, pN^2/\kappa\right) := \left\langle \Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)}\left(Np, pN^2/\kappa\right) \cup \{H_p(N)\} \right\rangle.$$

For conveniance we will write

$$i_N := [\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)*}(p,p) \,:\, \Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)*}(Np, N^2p)].$$

The last row of any matrix in this group is of type

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(pN\lambda_1 \quad p\tfrac{N^2}{\kappa}\lambda_2 \quad pN\lambda_3 \quad \lambda_4\right), \quad \text{where } p \nmid \lambda_4,\\
&\left(pN\lambda_1 \quad p\tfrac{N^2}{\kappa}\lambda_2 \quad pN\lambda_3 \quad p\lambda_4\right), \quad \text{where } p \nmid \lambda_2,\\
&\sqrt{p}\left(N\lambda_1 \quad p\tfrac{N^2}{\kappa}\lambda_2 \quad N\lambda_3 \quad \lambda_4\right), \quad \text{where } p \nmid \lambda_1,\\
&\sqrt{p}\left(pN\lambda_1 \quad p\tfrac{N^2}{\kappa}\lambda_2 \quad N\lambda_3 \quad \lambda_4\right), \quad \text{where } p \nmid \lambda_3
\end{aligned}$$

for one primitive $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ with $gcd(\lambda_4, N) = 1$ and this provides a system of representatives of $\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)} \backslash \Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)*}(Np, pN^2/\kappa)$.

Now let $\nu, \theta \mid N$ and write $\mathfrak{e} := (\,{\scriptstyle 0 \,\ldots\, 0\ 1}\,)^{\mathrm{tr}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Then

$$\left\{ M_{d,\gamma} M_\lambda \,:\, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/\theta\nu^2\mathbb{Z},\, d \mid \nu,\, \lambda \in \left\{1, \ldots, \frac{\nu}{d}\right\}^{2(n-1)} \right\}, \text{ where}$$

$$M_{d,\gamma} := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} I & -Ndp\mathfrak{e} & & \\ & 1 & & \\ \hline & & I & \\ & \frac{N^2}{\theta}p\gamma & Ndp\mathfrak{e}^{\mathrm{tr}} & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

and M_λ as above is a set of representatives of $\Gamma_{n,1}(N\nu p, (N\nu)^2 p) \backslash \Gamma_{n,1}\left(Np, pN^2/\theta\right)$ and if $n = 2$ it is a set of representatives of the paramodular analog, too.

We already know that any Dirichlet character χ with period N induces a character on $\Gamma_{2,1}(N, N)$, hence on $\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)}(Np, pN^2/\kappa)$ by means of $\chi(M) = \chi(\delta)$. We extend this notion of character to the group above by means of

$$\chi(M) = \begin{cases} \chi(\delta), & \text{if } M \in \Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)}\left(Np, pN^2/\kappa\right) \\ \sqrt{\overline{\chi}(p)}\,\chi(MH_p(N)^{-1}), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\sqrt{\overline{\chi}(p)}$ is one fixed root

If $p \equiv 1(N)$, let us use the following notation to distinguish different roots. Denote by χ^+ the character where $\chi^+(H_p(N)) = 1$ and given k let χ^{k-} be the character such that $\chi^{k-}(H_p(N)) = (-1)^k$. If k is clear by the context we write $\chi^- = \chi^{k-}$.

3 Twisted Siegel modular forms

The vector space of all (not necessarily holomorphic) Siegel modular forms of weight k with respect to a character χ associated to a discrete subgroup Γ'

of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{R})$ will be denoted by $[\Gamma', k, \chi]$. The vector space of all corresponding cusp forms is denoted by $[\Gamma', k, \chi]_0$. We now define twists of Siegel modular forms in $[\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)*}(p,p), k, \mathbb{1}_1^{k-}]_0$. The special case $p = 1$ was treated by Kohnen, K. and Sengupta in [5] and all proofs are analogous.

We use the following notations which are valid if we don't state differently. Let p be a prime, χ be a character of period N, where $p \equiv 1\ (N)$. Moreover let $f \in [\Gamma_2^{(p)*}, k, \mathbb{1}_1^{k-}]_0$ be a holomorphic Siegel cusp form with Fourier-Jacobi series $f(Z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_m(Z_1, w) e^{2\pi \imath m z}$. Then the twist f_χ of f by χ is

$$f_\chi := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m) f_m e^{2\pi \imath m z}.$$

We have

$$f_\chi = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu,\, \mu(N)} \chi(\nu) e^{-2\pi \imath \nu \mu / N} f \left| M_{\mu/N} \right.$$

and f_χ is a holomorphic Siegel cusp form in $[\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)*}(Np, N^2 p),\, k,\, (\chi^2)^{k-}]_0$.

To prove this, note that $H_p(N)^{-1} M_{\frac{\mu}{N}} H_p(N) M_{-(\mu(x-p^* y))/N}$ is in $\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)}(Np, N^2 p)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_\chi \left| H_p(N) \right. &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu,\, \mu(N)} \chi(\nu) e^{-2\pi \imath \nu \mu / N} f \left| M_{\mu/N} H_p(N) \right. \\ &= (-1)^k \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu,\, \mu(N)} \chi(\nu) e^{-2\pi \imath \nu \mu / N} \cdot \\ &\qquad\qquad f \left| H_p(N)^{-1} M_{\mu/N} H_p(N) M_{-(\mu(x-p^* y))/N} M_{\mu(x-p^* y)/N} \right. \\ &= (-1)^k f_\chi . \end{aligned}$$

If χ is primitive a refined representation is given by

$$f_\chi = \frac{1}{G_{\overline{\chi}}} \sum_{\mu(N)} \overline{\chi}(\mu) f \left| M_{\mu/N} \right. .$$

Given $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ an immediate consequence is

$$f_\chi \left| W_{N\nu p} \right. \in [\Gamma_{2,1}^{(p)*}(N\nu p, (N\nu)^2 p),\, k,\, 0,\, \left(\overline{\chi}^2\right)^{k-}]_0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_\chi \left| W_{Np} \right. = \frac{G_\chi^2}{N} f_{\overline{\chi}}.$$

To prove that a certain difference of Eisenstein series vanishes we need one further statement. For instance let $q \mid N$ be a prime. Consider that $\sum_{\nu(p)} \chi(\mu + N\nu/q)$ vanishes for arbitrary $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. An easy application of that relation yields

$$\sum_{\nu(q)} f_\chi \Big| M^{\mathrm{tr}}_{(Np)^2\nu/q} = 0.$$

4 Paramodular Eisenstein series

This section follows the lines of [7], where the special case $p = 1$ was treated and Gritsenko's results for the special case $N = 1$ in [2].

Suppose $\kappa \mid N$. Given $Z \in \mathbb{H}_2$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\mathfrak{Re}(s) > 2$ the Klingen-Eisenstein-series for $\Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}\left(Np, pN^2/\kappa\right)$ is given by

$$E^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,\chi}(Z,s) := \sum_{M:\Gamma^{(p)}_{2,1}\backslash\Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}(Np,pN^2/\kappa)} \chi^+(M) \left(\frac{\det \mathfrak{Im}(M\langle Z\rangle)}{\det \mathfrak{Im}(M\langle Z\rangle)_1}\right)^s$$

and its completion is

$$\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,\chi}(Z,s) = \pi^{-s} p^{3s/2}(1+p^{-s}) N^{2s}\Gamma(s) L(2s,\chi) E^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,\chi}(Z,s).$$

Using the Epstein-ζ-function we prove that this series is well defined and converges locally absolutely uniformly for $Z \in \mathbb{H}_2$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\mathfrak{Re}(s) > 2$. Furthermore for fixed s it is in $[\Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}\left(Np, pN^2/\kappa\right), 0, \overline{\chi}^+]$.

The completed Eisenstein series has a meromorphic continuation. If both sides are defined, it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,\chi}(Z,s) \\
&= \pi^{-s} p^{3s/2} N^{2s}\Gamma(s) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}} \chi(\lambda_4) \left(p^{-s} P_Z[\begin{pmatrix} N\lambda_1 \\ \frac{N^2}{\kappa} p\lambda_2 \\ N\lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} + P_Z[\begin{pmatrix} Np\lambda_1 \\ \frac{N^2}{\kappa} p\lambda_2 \\ Np\lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= N^{-2s} \sum_{\substack{\alpha(N),\, \beta(\kappa) \\ \gamma(N),\, \delta(N^2)}} \chi(\delta)$$

$$\left(p^{\frac{1}{2}s-1} \sum_{h(p)} \zeta^* \left(s,\, \left(0,\, \frac{\kappa h}{p},\, 0,\, 0\right)^{\mathrm{tr}},\, \left(\frac{\alpha}{N},\, \frac{p\beta}{\kappa},\, \frac{\gamma}{N},\, \frac{\delta}{N^2}\right)^{\mathrm{tr}},\, P_Z \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + p^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \sum_{g(p)} \zeta^* \left(s,\, 0,\, \left(\frac{\alpha}{N},\, \frac{\beta}{\kappa},\, \frac{\gamma}{N},\, \frac{p\delta + N^2 g}{N^2 p}\right)^{\mathrm{tr}},\, P_Z \right) \right)$$

$$= N^{-2s} \sum_{\substack{\alpha(N),\, \beta(\kappa) \\ \gamma(N),\, \delta(N^2)}} \chi(\delta)$$

$$\left(p^{-\frac{3}{2}s} \sum_{h_1,\, h_2,\, h_3(p)} \zeta^* \left(s,\, 0,\, \left(\frac{p\alpha + N h_1}{Np},\, \frac{\beta}{\kappa},\, \frac{p\gamma + N h_2}{Np},\, \frac{p\delta + N^2 h_3}{N^2 p}\right)^{\mathrm{tr}},\, P_Z \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + p^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \sum_{g(p)} \zeta^* \left(s,\, 0,\, \left(\frac{\alpha}{N},\, \frac{\beta}{\kappa},\, \frac{\gamma}{N},\, \frac{p\delta + N^2 g}{N^2 p}\right)^{\mathrm{tr}},\, P_Z \right) \right).$$

If χ is not the trivial character, this continuation is holomorphic. Otherwise it is holomorphic except for a simple pole at $s = 2$ with residuum $\frac{2\kappa\phi(N)}{N}$.

For a **Proof** note that

$$(1 + p^{-s}) L(2s, \chi) E^{(p)*}_{Np, \frac{N^2}{\kappa}p, \chi}(Z, s)$$

$$= p^{-s} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \setminus \{0\}} \chi(\lambda_4) P_Z[\begin{pmatrix} N\lambda_1 \\ \frac{N^2}{\kappa} p\lambda_2 \\ N\lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \setminus \{0\}} \chi(\lambda_4) P_Z[\begin{pmatrix} Np\lambda_1 \\ \frac{N^2}{\kappa} p\lambda_2 \\ Np\lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}]^{-s},$$

and this yields the first statement. Using this we may continue

$$\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np, pN^2/\kappa, \chi}(Z, s)$$

$$= \pi^{-s} p^{3s/2} N^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \setminus \{0\}} \chi(\lambda_4) \left(p^{-s} P_Z[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1}{N} \\ \frac{p\lambda_2}{\kappa} \\ \frac{\lambda_3}{N} \\ \frac{\lambda_4}{N^2} \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} + p^{-2s} P_Z[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1}{N} \\ \frac{\lambda_2}{\kappa} \\ \frac{\lambda_3}{N} \\ \frac{\lambda_4}{N^2 p} \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} \right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \pi^{-s} p^{\frac{1}{2}s} N^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \\
&\qquad \sum_{\substack{\alpha(N),\, \beta(\kappa) \\ \gamma(N),\, \delta(N^2)}} \chi(\delta) \left(p^{-1} \sum_{h(p)} \zeta \left(s,\, \left(0,\, \frac{\kappa h}{p},\, 0,\, 0\right)^{\mathrm{tr}},\, \left(\frac{\alpha}{N},\, \frac{p\beta}{\kappa},\, \frac{\gamma}{N},\, \frac{\delta}{N^2}\right)^{\mathrm{tr}},\, P_Z \right) \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + p^{-s} \sum_{g(p)} \zeta \left(s,\, 0,\, \left(\frac{\alpha}{N},\, \frac{\beta}{\kappa},\, \frac{\gamma}{N},\, \frac{p\delta + N^2 g}{N^2 p}\right)^{\mathrm{tr}},\, P_Z \right) \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously we may deduce the third representation.

Since the meromorphic continuation of the Epstein ζ-function is well understood (cf. [9]), we know that only a simple pole at $s = 2$ may occure. Its residuum is

$$
N^{-4} \sum_{\substack{\alpha(N),\, \beta(\kappa) \\ \gamma(N),\, \delta(N^2)}} \chi(\delta)(1+1) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\kappa\phi(N)}{N} & \text{, if } \chi \text{ is trivial} \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

□

4.1 The functional equation

We will establish the functional equation in several steps. First we deduce a sum in terms of P_Z. There for let χ_L be a primitive character with period L which induces χ. Furthermore let LR be the minimal period of χ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\kappa \mid N$.

Proposition 1. *We have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,\chi}(Z, 2-s) \\
&= \frac{\phi(R)}{R} \frac{\kappa}{G_{\overline{\chi_L}}} \sum_{r \mid R} \pi^{-s} \Gamma(s) (Lr)^{2s} \chi_L(r) \frac{\mu(r)}{\phi(r)} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \setminus \{0\}} (\overline{\mathbb{1}_r \chi_L})(\lambda_4) \\
&\qquad \left(p^{3s/2} P_{W_{Np}\langle Z \rangle}[\begin{pmatrix} Lrp\lambda_1 \\ Lr\kappa p\lambda_2 \\ Lrp\lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} + p^{\frac{1}{2}s} P_{W_{Np}\langle Z \rangle}[\begin{pmatrix} Lr\lambda_1 \\ Lr\kappa p\lambda_2 \\ Lr\lambda_3 \\ \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

If we impose an additional assumption on κ, we can deduce a more convenient expression using the representation of the Eisenstein series above.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that in addition $\kappa \mid L$. Then the functional equation*

$$\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,\chi}(Z,2-s) = \frac{\phi(R)}{R}\frac{\kappa}{G_{\overline{\chi_L}}}\sum_{r\,|\,R}\chi_L(r)\frac{\mu(r)}{\phi(r)}\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Lrp,Lr\kappa p,\overline{\mathbb{1}_r\chi_L}}(W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle, s)$$

holds.

Proof (Proposition 1). Using the second representation given above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,\chi}(Z,2-s)\\
&= \pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)N^{-2(2-s)}\sum_{\substack{\alpha(N),\,\beta(\kappa)\\ \gamma(N),\,\delta(N^2)}}\chi(\delta)\\
&\quad\left(p^{\frac{1}{2}(2-s)-1}\sum_{\substack{h(p)\\ v=(0,\,\kappa h/p,0,0)^{\mathrm{tr}}}}\ \sum_{\substack{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\\ \lambda+v\neq 0}}\exp\left(-2\pi\imath\left(\frac{\alpha}{N},\frac{p\beta}{\kappa},\frac{\gamma}{N},\frac{\delta}{N^2}\right)\lambda\right)P_Z^{-1}[\lambda+v]^{-s}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+p^{-\frac{1}{2}(2-s)}\sum_{g(p)}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}}\exp\left(-2\pi\imath\left(\frac{\alpha}{N},\frac{\beta}{\kappa},\frac{\gamma}{N},\frac{p\delta+N^2g}{N^2p}\right)\lambda\right)P_Z^{-1}[\lambda]^{-s}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\nu := \frac{N}{LR}$ and replace λ_1 by $N\lambda_1$, λ_2 by $\kappa\lambda_2$, λ_3 by $N\lambda_3$ and λ_4 by $N\nu\lambda_4$ after having evaluated the character sum.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\frac{\kappa}{RG_{\overline{\chi_L}}}\right)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,\chi}(Z,2-s)\\
&= N^{2s}\chi_L(R)\left(p^{-\frac{1}{2}s}\sum_{\substack{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\\ h(p)\\ v=(N\lambda_1,\,\kappa\lambda_2,\,N\lambda_3,\,N\nu\lambda_4)\\ v+(0,\,\kappa h/p,0,0)\neq 0}}\overline{\chi_L}(\lambda_4)\mu(r)\phi\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)P_Z^{-1}[\begin{pmatrix}N\lambda_1\\ \kappa\lambda_2+\frac{\kappa h}{p}\\ N\lambda_3\\ N\nu\lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+p^{\frac{1}{2}s-1}\sum_{\substack{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}\\ g(p)}}\overline{\chi_L}(\lambda_4)\mu(r)\phi\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)e^{-2\pi\imath\frac{g}{p}N\nu\lambda_4}P_Z^{-1}[\begin{pmatrix}N\lambda_1\\ \kappa\lambda_2\\ N\lambda_3\\ N\nu\lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $r = R/\gcd(R,\lambda_4)$.

Now we fix one arbitrary r and consider the remaining sum.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&N^{2s}\chi_L(R)\mu(r)\phi\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)\\
&\qquad\left(p^{-\frac{1}{2}s}\sum_{\substack{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\\ h(p)\\ v=(N\lambda_1,\,\kappa\lambda_2,\,N\lambda_3,\,N\nu\lambda_4)\\ v+(0,\,\kappa h/p,0,0)\neq 0\\ (R,\lambda_4)=R/r}} \overline{\chi_L}(\lambda_4)P_{W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix} N\lambda_1\\ N\kappa p\lambda_2+N\kappa h\\ N\lambda_3\\ \frac{\nu\lambda_4}{p}\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}\right.\\
&\qquad\quad\left.+\,p^{\frac{1}{2}s-1}\sum_{\substack{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}\\ g(p)\\ (R,\lambda_4)=R/r}} \overline{\chi_L}(\lambda_4)e^{-2\pi\imath\frac{g}{p}N\nu\lambda_4}P_{W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix} N\lambda_1\\ N\kappa p\lambda_2\\ N\lambda_3\\ \frac{\nu\lambda_4}{p}\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

We evaluate the sum over g and substitute λ_4 by $p\frac{R}{r}\lambda_4$ and $\frac{R}{r}\lambda_4$ respectively and finally substitute $p\lambda_2+h$ by λ_2. Recalling that $p\equiv 1\ (N)$ the expression yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(Lr)^{2s}\chi_L(r)\mu(r)\phi\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}}(\overline{\mathbb{1}_r\chi_L})(\lambda_4)\\
&\qquad\left(p^{3s/2}P_{W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix} Lrp\lambda_1\\ Lr\kappa p\lambda_2\\ Lrp\lambda_3\\ \lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}+p^{\frac{1}{2}s}P_{W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix} Lr\lambda_1\\ Lr\kappa p\lambda_2\\ Lr\lambda_3\\ \lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since R is square free we may use $\phi\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)=\frac{\phi(R)}{\phi(r)}$ and summing up the last expression for all $r \mid R$ yields the proposition's statement. ☐

In general the Eisenstein series occurring on the right hand side are hard to treat. By summing up smartly we can reduce them to one single function.

Proposition 2. *Suppose that $\kappa \mid L$. Then we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\theta\,|\,R}\frac{\mu(\theta)\phi(\theta)}{\theta}\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{\frac{LR}{\theta}p,\frac{L^2R^2}{\kappa\theta^2}p,\overline{\mathbb{1}_{\frac{R}{r}}\chi_L}}(W_{\frac{LR}{\theta}p}\langle Z\rangle,2-s)\\
&=\frac{\mu(R)}{R}\frac{\chi_L(R)}{G_{\overline{\chi_L}}}\kappa\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{LRp,LR\kappa p,\overline{\mathbb{1}_R\chi_L}}(Z,\,s)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Use the functional equation above and consider the equation

$$\sum_{\theta\,|\,R} \frac{\mu(\theta)\phi(\theta)}{\theta} \frac{\phi\left(R/\theta\right)}{R/\theta} \frac{\kappa}{G_{\overline{\chi_L}}} \sum_{r\,|\,R/\theta} \chi_L(r) \frac{\mu(r)}{\phi(r)} \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Lrp,\, L\kappa rp,\, \overline{\mathbb{1}_r\chi_L}} \left(Z,\, s\right)$$

$$= \frac{\phi(R)}{R} \frac{1}{G_{\overline{\chi_L}}} \sum_{r\,|\,R} \left(\sum_{\theta\,|\,R/r} \mu(\theta) \right) \chi_L(r) \frac{\mu(r)}{\phi(r)} \kappa \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Lrp,\, L\kappa rp,\, \overline{\mathbb{1}_r\chi_L}} \left(Z,\, s\right). \qquad \square$$

Until now we have succeeded in moving the major part of calculational complications to the left hand side in Proposition 2. We now develop a method for handling this. In this subsection we may again consider the weaker assumption $\kappa \mid N$. Let q be a prime and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(p, Nqr) = 1$.

Given $Z \in \mathbb{H}_2$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathfrak{Re}(s) > 2$ we define $\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,\frac{N^2}{\kappa}p,q,r,\chi}(\cdot,\, s)$ in terms of

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,q,r,\chi}(\cdot,\, s)\,|W_{Nqrp} \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,\, pN^2/\kappa,\, \chi}(\cdot,\, s)\,|W_{Np} - \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Nqp,\, p(Nq)^2/\kappa,\, \mathbb{1}_q\chi}(\cdot,\, s)\,|W_{Nqp}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. *Given* $Z \in \mathbb{H}_2$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $\mathfrak{Re}(s) > 2$ *we have*

(i) The considered difference of Eisenstein series yields

$$\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,q,r,\chi}(Z,\, s) = \pi^{-s}(Nrq)^{2s}\Gamma(s) \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}} \chi(q\lambda_4)$$

$$\left(p^{\frac{1}{2}s} P_Z[\begin{pmatrix} Nqr\lambda_1 \\ \frac{N^2q^2r^2}{\kappa}p\lambda_2 \\ Nqr\lambda_3 \\ q\lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} + p^{\frac{3}{2}s} P_Z[\begin{pmatrix} Nqrp\lambda_1 \\ \frac{N^2q^2r^2}{\kappa}p\lambda_2 \\ Nqrp\lambda_3 \\ q\lambda_4 \end{pmatrix}]^{-s} \right).$$

(ii) If $q \mid N$ *we have* $\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,q,r,\chi}(Z,\, s) = 0.$

(iii) $\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,q,r,\chi}(\cdot,\, s) \in [\Gamma_{2,1}\left(Nqrp, (Nqrp)^2\right)\, 0,\, \overline{\chi}]\,.$

(iv) $\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,q,r,\chi}(\cdot,\, s) \Big| M^{\mathrm{tr}}_{\frac{N^2r^2q}{\kappa}p} = \mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,pN^2/\kappa,q,r,\chi}(\cdot,\, s).$

Proof. In order to prove (i) we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\right)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,\,pN^2/\kappa,\,\chi}\left(W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle,\,s\right)\\
&= N^{2s}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}}\chi(\lambda_4)\left(p^{s/2}P_{W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix}N\lambda_1\\ \frac{N^2}{\kappa}p\lambda_2\\ N\lambda_3\\ \lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}+p^{3s/2}P_{W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix}Np\lambda_1\\ \frac{N^2}{\kappa}p\lambda_2\\ Np\lambda_3\\ \lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}\right)\\
&= (Nq)^{2s}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}}\chi(\lambda_4)\\
&\qquad\left(p^{s/2}P_{W_{Nqp}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix}Nq\lambda_1\\ \frac{N^2q^2}{\kappa}p\lambda_2\\ Nq\lambda_3\\ \lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}+p^{3s/2}P_{W_{Nqp}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix}Nqp\lambda_1\\ \frac{N^2q^2}{\kappa}p\lambda_2\\ Nqp\lambda_3\\ \lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

We split the addends with respect to $q\mid\lambda_4$ and $q\nmid\lambda_4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\right)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,\,pN^2/\kappa,\,\chi}\left(W_{Np}\langle Z\rangle,\,s\right)\\
&= \left(\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\right)^{-1}\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Nqp,\,\frac{N^2q^2}{\kappa}p,\,\mathbb{1}_q\chi}\left(W_{Nqp}\langle Z\rangle,\,s\right)\\
&\quad + (Nq)^{2s}\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}^4\setminus\{0\}}\chi(q\lambda_4)\\
&\qquad\left(p^{s/2}P_{W_{Nqp}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix}Nq\lambda_1\\ \frac{N^2q^2}{\kappa}p\lambda_2\\ Nq\lambda_3\\ q\lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}+p^{3s/2}P_{W_{Nqp}\langle Z\rangle}[\begin{pmatrix}Nqp\lambda_1\\ \frac{N^2q^2}{\kappa}p\lambda_2\\ Nqp\lambda_3\\ q\lambda_4\end{pmatrix}]^{-s}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields (i) and (ii) is an immediate consequence since $\chi(q\lambda_4)=0$ if $q\mid N$. Applying the definition we prove (iii) and (iv) is again a consequence of (i). $\square$

5 First integral representation of $\mathbb{D}_{f,g,\chi}$

We are now going to prove two integral representations, which after beeing put together yield the functional equation of the spinor L-function. The first one is straight forward and the exceptional case $p=1$ has been treated by Kuß in [7]. Recall the notation from section 4.

Let f, g be holomorphic Siegel cusp forms admitting Fourier-Jacobi expansion $f(Z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_m(Z_1, w)e^{2\pi\imath mz}$ and $g(Z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} g_m(Z_1, w)e^{2\pi\imath mz}$. Then the attached Dirichlet series is defined

$$D_{f,g}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle f_m, g_m \rangle m^{-s}$$

whenever it converges locally absolutely. Then the twisted Rankin convolution and its completion is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} D_{f,g,\chi}(s) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi(m)\langle f_m, g_m \rangle m^{-s}, \\ \mathbb{D}_{f,g,\chi}(s) is &= \left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\right)^{-2s} \Gamma(s)\Gamma(s-k+n)L(2s-2k+2n, \chi^2)D_{f,g,\chi}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $\chi^{(2)}$ be a primitive character with period $L^{(2)}$ which induces χ^2. Let θ be a natural such that $\theta \mid N/L^{(2)}$. If $\theta \neq 1$ let χ be primitive. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ f_\chi \,|W_{Np}\, \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{pN/\theta,\, pN^2/\theta^2,\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{N}{\theta}}\chi^{(2)}}(\cdot, s) \,\big|W_{pN/\theta}\, ,\, g\,|W_{Np} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{i_N}\left(\frac{\pi}{N^2}\right)^{k-2} p^{\frac{3}{2}s-1}(1+p^{-s})\mathbb{D}_{f,\,g,\,\chi}(s+k-2). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Petersson scalar product.

Proof. The first and second argument have the common symmetry group $\Gamma_{2,1}(Np, (Np)^2)$. Since W_{Np} normalises this group, we see that the scalar product converges absolutely due to the polynomial growth of the Eisenstein series and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ f_\chi \,|W_{Np}\, \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{pN/\theta,\, pN^2/\theta^2,\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{N}{\theta}}\chi^{(2)}} \,\big|W_{pN/\theta}\, (\cdot, s),\, g\,|W_{Np} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ f_\chi \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{pN/\theta,\, pN^2/\theta^2,\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{N}{\theta}}\chi^{(2)}} \,\big|D_{1/\theta}(\cdot, s),\;\; g \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use induction on the prime divisors of θ. There for let $\theta = 1$ and apply the unfolding trick.

$$\begin{aligned}
&H(s) \\
&:= \left(\frac{2}{i_N}\pi^{-s}N^{2s}p^{3s/2}(1+p^{-s})\Gamma(s)L(2s,\chi^2)\right)^{-1}\left\{f_\chi\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{pN,\,pN^2,\,\mathbb{1}_N\chi^{(2)}}(\cdot,s),\,g\right\} \\
&= \int\limits_{\mathcal{F}^{(p)}_{2,1}} f_\chi(Z)\overline{g(Z)}(\det Y_1)^{k-3}\left(y - Y_1^{-1}[v]\right)^{s+k-3}\,dXdY \\
&= \int\limits_{\substack{(Z_1,\,w)\in\mathcal{F}_2^*\\ y>Y_1^{-1}[v]\\ x\in[0,\frac{1}{p}]}} \sum_{m_f,\,m_g=1}^{\infty} \chi(m_f)f_{pm_f}(Z_1,\,w)\overline{g_{pm_g}(Z_1,\,w)} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \cdot e^{-2\pi(m_f+m_g)py+2\pi\imath(m_f-m_g)px} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \cdot (\det Y_1)^{k-3}(y-Y_1^{-1}[v])^{s+k-3}dxdydudvdX_1dY_1 \\
&= p^{-1}(4\pi)^{-s-k+2}\Gamma(s+k-2) \\
&\qquad \int\limits_{(Z_1,\,w)\in\mathcal{F}_2^*} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\chi(m)(pm)^{-s-k+2}f_{pm}(Z_1,\,w)\overline{g_{pm}(Z_1,\,w)} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \cdot e^{-4\pi pmY_1^{-1}[v]}(\det Y_1)^{k-3}dudvdX_1dY_1 \\
&= p^{-1}(4\pi)^{-s-k+2}\Gamma(s+k-2)D_{f,\,g,\,\chi}(s+k-2).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement if $\theta = 1$.

Now assume $\theta \neq 1$ and $q \mid \theta$ is prime. It suffices to show that

$$\left\{f_\chi\,|W_{Np}\;\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{pN/\theta,pN^2/\theta^2,q,\theta/q,\chi^2}(\cdot,\,s)\,|W_{Np}\;,\;g\,|W_{Np}\right\}$$

vanishes. By (ii) in Proposition 3 this is true if $q \mid N/\theta$. Hence we may assume $q \nmid N/\theta$.

The modular forms in the last equation have got $\Gamma^1_{2,1}\left(Np,\,(Np)^2\right)$ as a symmetry group and M^{tr}_{Np} normalises this group hence so does $M^{\mathrm{tr}}_{(Np)^2\frac{\nu}{q}}$ if $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Considering invariances of g and $\mathcal{E}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{f_\chi\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{pN/\theta,pN^2/\theta^2,q,\theta/q,\chi^2}(\cdot,\,s),\,g\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{q}\sum_{\nu(q)}\left\{f_\chi\,\left|M^{\mathrm{tr}}_{(Np)^2\frac{\nu}{q}}\;\mathcal{E}^{(p)*}_{pN/\theta,pN^2/\theta^2,q,\theta/q,\chi^2}(\cdot,\,s),\,g\right.\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This sum vanishes as mentioned in section 3. □

An immediate consequence is

Corollary 2. *Suppose that χ is primitive. Let $\chi^{(2)}$ be a primitive character with period $L^{(2)}$ which induces χ^2. Let $L^{(2)}R^{(2)}$ be the minimal period of χ^2. Then we have*

$$\left\{ f_\chi \,|W_{Np} \sum_{\theta \,|\, R^{(2)}} \frac{\mu(\theta)\phi(\theta)}{\theta} \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{\frac{L^{(2)}R^{(2)}}{\theta}p,\, \left(\frac{L^{(2)}R^{(2)}}{\theta}\right)^2 p,\, \mathbb{1}_{\frac{N}{\theta}}\chi^{(2)}}(\cdot, s) \left| W_{\frac{L^{(2)}R^{(2)}}{\theta}} \right., g\,|W_{Np} \right\}$$
$$= \frac{2}{i_N R^{(2)}} \left(\frac{\pi}{N^2}\right)^{k-2} p^{\frac{3}{2}s-1}(1+p^{-s})\mathbb{D}_{f,\,g,\,\chi}(s+k-2).$$

6 Second integral representation

In this section we will prove a second integral representation. The ideas for this approach where first developed by Kohnen, Krieg and Sengupta [5] and strongly refined by Kuß [7].

We adopt the conventions of the last section and of the preceeding corollary. In addition set $\nu := N/L^{(2)}R^{(2)}$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose $f, g \in [\Gamma_{2,1}(p,p), k, \mathbb{1}_1]_0$ admitting Fourier-Jacobi series as given above.*

Suppose $d \mid \nu$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{2\times}$. Let $C \mid N\nu$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(\gamma, \frac{N\nu}{C}) = 1$ and let $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Choose $\gamma^* \in \mathbb{N}$ by means of $\gamma\gamma^* \equiv 1 \ \left(\frac{N\nu}{C}\right)$, choose p^* by means of $pp^* \equiv 1 \ \left(\frac{N\nu}{C}\right)$ and a minimal $\mu \mid \nu$ such that $C \mid Nd\mu$. Let $\mu^* \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu\mu^* \equiv 1 \ \left(\frac{Nd\mu p}{C}\right)$.

If $M_{d,C\gamma}$ is chosen as in section 2 with $\theta = \frac{N}{\nu}$ and M_λ as in section 2, for any $\epsilon_\gamma \equiv \gamma \ (\nu)$ we have a Fourier-Jacobi series

$$f\,|W_{N\nu p} M_{d,C\gamma} M_\lambda D_\epsilon = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_{m,C,d,\lambda,\epsilon,\epsilon_\gamma}(Z_1, w) e^{2\pi\imath \frac{C^2}{\mu^2} mz} e^{2\pi\imath \frac{C{\mu^*}^2\gamma^*}{N\nu} p^* m},$$

where $f_{m,C,d,\lambda,\epsilon_\gamma}$ depends on γ and γ^* only by means of ϵ_γ. If $p \nmid m$ we have $f_{m,C,d,\lambda,\epsilon_\gamma} \equiv 0$.

If $\mu = \mu^* = 1$ and $C\nu \mid N$, we have

$$f_{m,C,d,\lambda,\epsilon,\epsilon_\gamma}(Z_1, w) = C^k f_m\left(Z_1, Cw + \frac{pp^*\epsilon\epsilon_\gamma}{\nu}(Z_1 d\lambda_1 + d\lambda_2)\right)$$
$$e^{2\pi\imath m \left(\frac{pp^*\epsilon\epsilon_\gamma}{\nu}\right)^2 (Z_1 d^2\lambda_1^2 + d^2\lambda_1\lambda_2)} e^{2\pi\imath m \frac{2Cpp^*\epsilon\epsilon_\gamma}{\nu} wd\lambda_1}.$$

Proof. Set

$$H_1 := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & & \\ & \frac{(1-p\gamma p^*\gamma^*)C}{N\nu} & & p^*\gamma^* \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & -p\gamma & & \frac{N\nu}{C} \end{array}\right)$$

$$H_2 := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \mu & \frac{Ndp\mu}{C} & & \\ \frac{(\mu\mu^*-1)C}{Ndp\mu} & \mu^* & & \\ \hline & & \mu^* & \frac{(1-\mu\mu^*)C}{Ndp\mu} \\ & & -\frac{Ndp\mu}{C} & \mu \end{array}\right)$$

$$H_3 := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & \frac{Nd^2p^2p^*\mu^2}{C}r & dpp^*\mu^*\mu r \\ & 1 & dpp^*\mu^*\mu r & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

$$H_4 := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & \frac{Nd^2p^2p^*\epsilon_\gamma}{C\nu} & \\ & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

where $r = \frac{\epsilon_\gamma - \gamma^*}{\nu}$. Now caluculate

$$-H_3H_2H_1W_PW_{N\nu p}M_{d,C\gamma}M_\lambda D_\epsilon.$$

If $\mu = 1$ and $C\nu \mid N$ choose $\mu^* = 1$ and consider

$$-D_\epsilon^{-1}M_\lambda^{-1}H_4H_3H_2H_1W_PW_{N\nu p}M_{d,C\gamma}M_\lambda D_\epsilon.$$

□

The second ingredient will be a character sum. All calculations are those of Kuß [7, p. 48] and we reproduce them only for conveniance. Observe that we don't multiply by ν^{-2}.

Lemma 2. *Suppose $\nu \mid N$ and let χ^2 be induced by a primitive character $\chi^{(2)}$ with period L. Moreover let LR by the least period of χ^2. Set $r := N/\gcd(N, L\nu)$. Given β, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ choose β^*, $\gamma^* \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\beta\beta^* \equiv \gamma\gamma^* \equiv$*

$1\ (N\nu)$. If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$A_{\chi,\nu}(m) := \sum_{\substack{\beta(N\nu)^\times \\ \gamma(N\nu)^\times \\ \beta\equiv\gamma\ (\nu)}} \chi\left(\frac{\beta-\gamma}{\nu}\right) e^{2\pi\imath m \frac{\gamma^*-\beta^*}{N\nu}}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\nu}{LR}\chi(-m)\chi^{(2)}(R)\overline{\chi^{(2)}}\left(\frac{LR\nu}{N}\right)\mu(r)\phi\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)G_{\overline{\chi}}^3 G_{\chi^{(2)}}, & \textit{if } \frac{N}{LR} \mid \nu \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular $A_{\chi,\nu}(m) = \chi(m)A_{\chi,\nu}(1)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\chi,\nu}(m) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta(N\nu)^\times \\ \gamma(N\nu)^\times \\ \beta\equiv\gamma\ (\nu)}} \chi(\beta\gamma)\chi\left(\frac{\gamma^*-\beta^*}{\nu}\right) e^{2\pi\imath m \frac{\gamma^*-\beta^*}{N\nu}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta(N\nu) \\ \gamma(N\nu) \\ \beta\equiv\gamma\ (\nu)}} \overline{\chi}(\beta\gamma)\chi\left(\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\nu}\right) e^{2\pi\imath m \frac{\gamma-\beta}{N\nu}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta(N\nu) \\ r(N)}} \overline{\chi}(\beta(\beta+\nu r))\chi(r)e^{2\pi\imath m \frac{r}{N}} \\ &= \nu \sum_{\substack{\beta(N)^\times \\ r(N)^\times}} \overline{\chi}(\beta(\beta+\nu r))\chi(r)e^{2\pi\imath m \frac{r}{N}} \\ &\overset{\beta\rightsquigarrow r\beta}{=} \nu \sum_{\substack{\beta(N)^\times \\ r(N)^\times}} \overline{\chi}(\beta(\beta+\nu))\overline{\chi}(r)e^{2\pi\imath m \frac{r}{N}} \\ &= \nu\chi(m)G_{\overline{\chi}} \sum_{\beta(N)^\times} \overline{\chi}(\beta(\beta+\nu)), \end{aligned}$$

Using $G_\chi\overline{\chi}(\beta+\nu) = \sum_{\gamma(N)^\times} \chi(\gamma)e^{2\pi\imath(\beta+\nu)\frac{\gamma}{N}}$ we may continue

$$A_{\chi,\nu}(m) = \nu\chi(m)G_{\overline{\chi}} \sum_{\beta(N)^\times} \overline{\chi}(\beta(\beta+\nu))$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \nu\chi(m)\frac{G_{\overline{\chi}}}{G_\chi}\sum_{\substack{\beta(N)^\times\\ \gamma(N)^\times}} \overline{\chi}(\beta)\chi(\gamma)e^{2\pi\imath(\beta+\nu)\frac{\gamma}{N}}\\
&= \nu\chi(-m)\frac{G_{\overline{\chi}}^2}{N}\sum_{\substack{\beta(N)^\times\\ \gamma(N)^\times}} \overline{\chi}(\beta)\chi(\gamma)e^{2\pi\imath(\beta+\nu)\frac{\gamma}{N}}\\
&= \nu\chi(-m)\frac{G_{\overline{\chi}}^2}{N}\sum_{\substack{\beta(N)^\times\\ \gamma(N)^\times}} \overline{\chi}(\beta\gamma^*)\chi(\gamma)e^{2\pi\imath(\beta\gamma^*+\nu)\frac{\gamma}{N}}\\
&= \nu\chi(-m)\frac{G_{\overline{\chi}}^2}{N}\sum_{\substack{\beta(N)^\times\\ \gamma(N)^\times}} \overline{\chi}(\beta)\chi(\gamma)^2e^{2\pi\imath\frac{\beta+\nu\gamma}{N}}\\
&= \nu\chi(-m)\frac{G_{\overline{\chi}}^3}{N}\sum_{\gamma(N)^\times} \chi(\gamma)^2e^{2\pi\imath\frac{\nu\gamma}{N}}\\
&= \nu\chi(-m)\frac{G_{\overline{\chi}}^3}{N}\sum_{\substack{\gamma(LR)^\times\\ r\left(\frac{N}{LR}\right)}} (\mathbb{1}_R\chi^{(2)})(\gamma)e^{2\pi\imath\frac{\nu(rLR+\gamma)}{N}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum involving r vanishes if $\frac{N}{LR}\nmid\nu$. Otherwise we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\chi,\nu}(m) &= \nu\chi(-m)\frac{G_{\overline{\chi}}^3}{LR}\sum_{\gamma(LR)^\times}(\mathbb{1}_R\chi^{(2)})(\gamma)e^{2\pi\imath\frac{\nu\gamma}{N}}\\
&= \nu\chi(-m)\frac{G_{\overline{\chi}}^3}{LR}\chi^{(2)}(R)\overline{\chi^{(2)}}\left(\frac{LR\nu}{N}\right)\mu(r)\phi\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)G_{\chi^{(2)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
r = \frac{R}{(R,\frac{LR\nu}{N})} = \frac{N}{\frac{N}{R}(R,\frac{LR\nu}{N})} = \frac{N}{(N,L\nu)}. \qquad \square
$$

Using these lemmas we are able to deduce

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{f_\chi\,|W_{Np}\,\mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{pN/\nu,\,pN/\nu,\,\overline{\chi}^2}(\cdot,s),\,g\,|W_{Np}\right\}\\
&= \mu(R)\overline{\chi}^{(2)}(R)G_\chi^4G_{\overline{\chi}^{(2)}}p^{\frac{3}{2}s-1}(1+p^{-s})\frac{2}{i_NN^2}\left(\frac{\pi}{N^2}\right)^{k-2}\mathbb{D}_{f,g,\overline{\chi}}(s+k-2).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The integral is well defined and converges absolutely due to the Eisenstein series' growth. Thus we may calculate using (ii) of Proposition 3 and considering the definition of $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$

$$\begin{aligned} H(s) &:= \left\{ f_\chi \,|W_{Np}\, \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{pN/\nu,\, pN/\nu,\, \overline{\chi}^2}(\cdot, s),\, g\,|W_{Np} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \mathbb{E}^{(p)*}_{Np,\, N\nu p,\, \overline{\chi}^2}(\cdot, s),\, \operatorname{trace}\left(\overline{f_\chi \,|W_{N\nu p}} g\,|W_{N\nu p}\, (\det \mathfrak{Im}(\cdot))^k \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we apply the trace operator

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{trace} :& [\Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}(N\nu p,\, (N\nu)^2 p),\, 0,\, \left(\overline{\chi}^2\right)^+] \longrightarrow [\Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}(Np,\, N\nu p),\, 0,\, \left(\overline{\chi}^2\right)^+] \\ & f \mapsto \frac{1}{c} \sum_{M : \Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}(N\nu p,\, (N\nu)^2 p) \backslash \Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}(Np,\, N\nu p)} \left(\overline{\chi}^2\right)^+ (M) f\,|M\ , \quad \text{where} \\ & c = [\Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}(Np,\, N\nu p)\ :\ \Gamma^{(p)*}_{2,1}(N\nu p,\, (N\nu)^2 p)] = N\nu^3. \end{aligned}$$

We use the system of representatives given in section 2 with $\theta = \frac{N}{\nu}$ and adopt the notation of $M_{d,\gamma}$. We now define

$$\operatorname{trace}\left(\overline{f_\chi \,|W_{N\nu p}} g\,|W_{N\nu p}\, (\det \mathfrak{Im}(\cdot))^k \right) =: \frac{1}{c}\overline{S} \cdot (\det \mathfrak{Im}(\cdot))^k$$

and find

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\overline{\chi}} S &= \sum_{\substack{d\,|\,\nu \\ \lambda \in \{1,\dots,\frac{\nu}{d}\}^{2\times} \\ \beta(N\nu) \\ \mu(N)}} \overline{\chi}(\mu) f\,|W_{N\nu p} M_{d,\beta-\nu p\mu} M_\lambda\, \overline{g\,|W_{N\nu p} M_{d,\beta} M_\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d\,|\,\nu \\ \lambda \in \{1,\dots,\frac{\nu}{d}\}^{2\times} \\ B\,|\,N\nu,\, \beta\left(\frac{N\nu}{B}\right)^\times \\ C\,|\,N\nu,\, \gamma\left(\frac{N\nu}{C}\right)^\times \\ B\beta \equiv C\gamma(\nu)}} \overline{\chi}\left(\frac{B\beta - C\gamma}{\nu}\right) f\,|W_{N\nu p} M_{d,C\gamma} M_\lambda\, \overline{g\,|W_{N\nu p} M_{d,B\beta} M_\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the scalar product and apply the unfolding trick. Consider that S is invariant if we apply D_ϵ, where $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. In addition we sum the fundamental domains $\mathcal{F}^{(p)}_{2,1}$ and $D_{-1}\mathcal{F}^{(p)}_{2,1}$.

$$\left(\pi^{-s} N^{2s} p^{3s/2} (1 + p^{-s}) \Gamma(s) L(2s, \chi^2) G_{\overline{\chi}}^{-1}\right)^{-1} H(s)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2 i_N \frac{\nu}{N} N\nu^3} \cdot$$

$$\int\limits_{\substack{Z_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \\ v \in \mathbb{R}/Y_1\mathbb{Z} \\ u \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ y > Y_1^{-1}[v] \\ x \in [0, \frac{1}{p}]}} (\det Y_1)^{k-3} (y - Y_1^{-1}[v])^{s+k-3} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} G_{\overline{\chi}} S \,|D_\epsilon(Z) dX dY.$$

We start showing that all "bad" addends of S vanish.

We apply lemma 1 to f and g and adopt the notation of $\mu^{(B)}, \mu^{(C)}$ as well as m_B, β^* and ϵ_β and m_C etc.. We fix B, C, d and λ and choose ϵ_γ and ϵ_β to be 1 if $\nu \neq 4$ and otherwise to be either 1 or -1.

Considering the integration over x we get the expression

$$\int\limits_{x \in [0, \frac{1}{p}]} e^{2\pi \imath x \left(\frac{C^2}{\mu^{(C)^2}} m_C - \frac{B^2}{\mu^{(B)^2}} m_B \right)}.$$

If this doesn't vanish we have $p \mid m_B, m_C$ and hence

$$\frac{C^2}{\mu^{(C)^2}} m_C = \frac{B^2}{\mu^{(B)^2}} m_B. \tag{1}$$

Assume $\mu^{(B)} \neq 1$ or $\mu^{(C)} \neq 1$. For reasons of symmetry we may suppose that $\mu^{(C)} \neq 1$.

We then find $2\mu^{(C)}\nu \mid C$. Indeed, since $\nu \neq 1$ follows from $\mu^{(C)} \neq 1$ we have $2\nu \mid N$. Then from $\nu_2(C) = \nu_2(Ndp\mu^{(C)})$ it follows $2\mu^{(C)}\nu \mid C$.

So instead of $\mu^{(C)} \neq 1$ we may assume $2\mu^{(C)}\nu \mid C$. Then by $2\nu \mid C$ and $C \mid N\nu$ we find $2 \mid N$ and hence $4 \mid N$, since χ is primitive. By $\beta B \equiv \gamma C \ (\nu)$ we see $\nu \mid B$ and on the other hand we may assume $\nu_2(B) = \nu_2(\nu)$ since otherwise $\overline{\chi}\left(\frac{B\beta - C\gamma}{\nu}\right)$ already vanishes. Since $2\nu \mid N$ we then have $B \mid N$ and conclude $\mu^{(B)} = 1$.

Equation (1) gives $4 \mid m_B$. We now regard the sum over β. Given β define $\tilde{\beta}$ in the system of representatives we are summing over in terms of $\tilde{\beta} \equiv \beta - \frac{N\nu}{2B} \ \left(\frac{N\nu}{B}\right)$. We see $\gcd\left(\tilde{\beta}, \frac{N\nu}{B}\right) = 1$ and $\epsilon_\beta = \epsilon_{\tilde{\beta}}$. Since β and β^* are odd a direct calculation yields

$$\tilde{\beta}^* \equiv \beta^* + \frac{N\nu}{2B} \quad \left(\frac{N\nu}{B}\right) \qquad \text{for any } \tilde{\beta}^* \text{ such that } \tilde{\beta}\tilde{\beta}^* \equiv 1 \left(\frac{N\nu}{B}\right).$$

Observing that due to $4 \mid m_B$ we have

$$\frac{B{\mu^{(B)*}}^2\frac{N\nu}{2B}}{N\nu} m_B \in \mathbb{Z},$$

the exponential subexpression is the same for β and $\tilde{\beta}$. Since β and $\tilde{\beta}$ are distinct and $\tilde{\tilde{\beta}} = \beta$ we then see that the sum over β vanishes. Hence we may always assume $2\mu^{(C)}\nu \nmid C$ as well as $2\mu^{(B)}\nu \nmid B$. In particular we have $\mu^{(B)} = \mu^{(C)} = 1$.

We set

$$\delta = (B, C),\ b = \frac{B}{\delta},\ c = \frac{C}{\delta}.$$

Then by (1) we find $m_B = c^2 m$, $m_C = b^2 m$ for one $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We may assume $\delta \mid \nu$ since otherwise $\overline{\chi}\left(\frac{B\beta - C\gamma}{\nu}\right)$ vanishes.

We consider

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta b}\right)^\times \\ \gamma\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta c}\right)^\times \\ \delta b\beta \equiv \delta c\gamma\ (\nu)}} = \sum_{\substack{\beta'\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}\right)^\times \\ \gamma'\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}\right)^\times}} \sum_{\substack{\beta\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta b}\right)^\times \\ \gamma\left(\frac{N\nu t}{\delta c}\right)^\times \\ \beta - \beta' \equiv \gamma - \gamma' \equiv 0\ \left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}\right) \\ \delta b\beta \equiv \delta c\gamma\ (\nu)}}$$

Observing that

$$\delta bc = \prod_{q \mid BC,\ q \text{ prime}} q^{\max\{\nu_p(B),\, \nu_p(C)\}}$$

we see $\nu \mid \frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}$ and thus if $\beta \equiv \beta'\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}\right)$ we have $\epsilon_\beta = \epsilon_{\beta'}$ and analogously for γ. Moreover the constraint $\delta b\beta \equiv \delta c\gamma\ (\nu)$ may be imposed only on β' and γ'.

We now treat the exponential expression. Observe $\beta \equiv \beta'\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}\right)$ if and only if $\beta^* \equiv \beta'^*\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}\right)$ and analogously for γ. We have

$$\frac{m\delta bcb\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}}{N\nu} = bm \in \mathbb{Z},$$
$$\frac{m\delta bcc\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}}{N\nu} = cm \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence we can consider the inner sum

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta b}\right)^\times \\ \gamma\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta c}\right)^\times \\ \beta-\beta'\equiv\gamma-\gamma'\equiv 0\ \left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}\right)}} \overline{\chi}\left(\frac{\delta b\beta-\delta c\gamma}{\nu}\right).$$

If $\delta bc \nmid \nu$ then $N \nmid \frac{N\nu}{\delta bc}$ and the sum vanishes. Thus we may assume $\delta bc \mid \nu$. Then by $\delta b\beta \equiv \delta c\gamma\ (\nu)$ we see that $(c,\nu) = (b,\nu) = 1$ hence $b = c = 1$ and $\delta \mid \nu$. If $\nu \neq 1$ the expression $\chi\left(\frac{\beta-\gamma}{\nu/\delta}\right)$ vanishes whenever $\delta = \nu$ since β and γ are odd. Hence we may assume $\delta \neq \nu$ if $\nu \neq 1$.

We consider the sum given above and write it differently. Observe that $\epsilon_\beta = \epsilon_{\beta'}$ whenever $\beta \equiv \beta'\ (\nu)$ and analogously for γ. In addition $\epsilon_\gamma = \epsilon_\beta$ except if $\nu = 4$ and $\delta = 2$. Then the character vanishes if $\epsilon_\gamma = \epsilon_\beta$ and hence we consider

$$\sum_{\substack{\epsilon\in\{\pm1\} \\ \beta\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta}\right)^\times \\ \gamma\left(\frac{N\nu}{\delta}\right)^\times \\ \beta\equiv\gamma\ \left(\frac{\nu}{\delta}\right)}} \overline{\chi}\left(\frac{\beta-\gamma}{\frac{\nu}{\delta}}\right) e^{2\pi\imath m\frac{\gamma^*-\beta^*}{N\frac{\nu}{\delta}}p^*} f_{m,\delta,d,\lambda,\epsilon,\epsilon_{\gamma'}}(Z_1,w)\cdot\overline{g_{m,\delta,d,\lambda,\epsilon,\epsilon_{\beta'}}(Z_1,w)}.$$

We have $C\nu, B\nu = \delta\nu \mid N$ and thus by (1) on page 15 $f_{m,\dots}$ and $g_{m,\dots}$ only depend on ϵ, ϵ_γ and ϵ_β by means of $\epsilon\epsilon_\gamma$ and $\epsilon\epsilon_\beta$ respectively. Hence we may consider an inner sum.

By (2) on page 16 this inner sum vanishes if $\delta \neq 1$. Otherwise it equals

$$\sum_{\epsilon\in\{\pm1\}} A_{\overline{\chi},\nu}(mp^*) f_{m,\delta,d,\lambda,1,\epsilon}(Z_1,w)\cdot\overline{g_{m,\delta,d,\lambda,1,\epsilon}(Z_1,w)}.$$

We now see $B\nu = C\nu = \nu \mid N$ and by (1) on page 15 we know $f_{m,\delta,d,\lambda,1,\epsilon}$ and $g_{m,\delta,d,\lambda,1,\epsilon}$. These functions depend only on d and λ by means of $d\lambda_1$ and $d\lambda_2$. Hence we may define $\Lambda = \{1,\dots,\nu\}^2$ and use the periodicity of f_m and g_m. Observe that since S is a finite sum of cusp forms the integral over the Fourier series converges absolutely and we may reorder it.

$$\left(\pi^{-s}N^{2s}p^{3s/2}(1+p^{-s})\Gamma(s)L(2s,\chi^2)\frac{1}{2i_N\nu^4}G_{\overline{\chi}}^{-1}\right)^{-1} H(s)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int\limits_{\substack{Z_1\in\mathcal{F}_1\\ v\in\mathbb{R}/Y_1\mathbb{Z}\\ u\in\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]\\ y>Y_1^{-1}[v]\\ x\in[0,\frac{1}{p}]}} (\det Y_1)^{k-3}(y-Y_1^{-1}[v])^{s+k-3} \sum_{\epsilon\in\{\pm1\}} G_{\overline{\chi}} S\,|D_\epsilon(Z) dX dY \\
&= \frac{1}{p}\Gamma(s+k-2)(4\pi)^{-s-k+2} \int\limits_{\substack{Z_1\in\mathcal{F}_1\\ v\in\mathbb{R}/Y_1\mathbb{Z}\\ u\in\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]}} (\det Y_1)^{k-3} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon\in\{\pm1\}\\ m\geq1\\ \lambda\in\Lambda}} A_{\overline{\chi},\nu}(m) m^{-s-k+2} \\
&\qquad \cdot f_m\left(Z_1, w+\frac{pp^*\epsilon}{\nu}\left(Z_1\lambda_1+\lambda_2\right)\right)\overline{g_m\left(Z_1, w+\frac{pp^*\epsilon}{\nu}\left(Z_1\lambda_1+\lambda_2\right)\right)} \\
&\qquad \cdot e^{-4\pi m\left(\frac{pp^*\epsilon}{\nu}\right)^2 Y_1\lambda_1^2} e^{-4\pi m\frac{2pp^*\epsilon}{\nu}v\lambda_1} e^{-4\pi m Y_1^{-1}[v]} dX_1 dY_1 du dv \\
&= \frac{1}{p}\Gamma(s+k-2)(4\pi)^{-s-k+2} \int\limits_{\substack{Z_1\in\mathcal{F}_1\\ v\in\mathbb{R}/Y_1\mathbb{Z}\\ u\in\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]}} (\det Y_1)^{k-3} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon\in\{\pm1\}\\ m\geq1\\ \lambda\in\Lambda}} A_{\overline{\chi},\nu}(m) m^{-s-k+2} \\
&\qquad \cdot f_m(Z_1,w)\overline{g_m(Z_1,w)} e^{-4\pi m Y_1^{-1}[v]} dX_1 dY_1 du dv \\
&= \frac{1}{p}\Gamma(s+k-2)(4\pi)^{-s-k+2} 4\nu^2 A_{\overline{\chi},\nu}(1) D_{f,g,\overline{\chi}}(s+k-2).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2) on page 16 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(s) &= \pi^{-s}N^{2s}p^{3s/2}(1+p^{-s})\Gamma(s)L(2s,\chi^2)\frac{1}{2i_N\nu^4}G_{\overline{\chi}}^{-1} \\
&\qquad \frac{1}{p}\Gamma(s+k-2)(4\pi)^{-s-k+2}4\nu^2 A_{\overline{\chi},\nu}(1) D_{f,g,\overline{\chi}}(s+k-2) \\
&= \mu(R)\overline{\chi}^{(2)}(R) G_\chi^4 G_{\overline{\chi}^{(2)}} p^{\frac{3}{2}s-1}(1+p^{-s}) \\
&\qquad \frac{2}{i_N N^2}\left(\frac{\pi}{N^2}\right)^{k-2} \mathbb{D}_{f,g,\overline{\chi}}(s+k-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

□

7 The final result

We are now able to deduce the functional equation of the spinor L-function. This will be a consequence of

Corollary 3. *Let p be a prime, $f, g \in [\Gamma^{(p)*}, k, \mathbb{1}_1^{k-}]_0$. Let χ be a primitive character of period N such that $p \equiv 1\ (N)$. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{D}_{f,g,\chi}(s) = \frac{G_\chi^4}{N^2} p^{3(k-s-1)}(1+p^{-(k-s)})(1+p^{-(s-k+2)})^{-1}\mathbb{D}_{f,g,\overline{\chi}}(2k-2-s).$$

For applying this to the spinor L-function we use a representation of Gritsenko. Unfortunately he didn't make this representation explicit. Hence we indicate how to obtain it, using his notation. Given a holomorphic Siegel eigenform f which is a cusp form such that the Fourier-Jacobi coefficients satisfy $f_1 \equiv 0$, $f_p \not\equiv 0$, by [2, theorem (4.8)] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(2s-2k+4, \mathbb{1}_p) D_{\mathrm{Sym}^p f, F_{f_p}} \\
&= L(2s-2k+4, \mathbb{1}_p) \sum_{m \geq 1} \langle f^*_{mp},\, m^{2-k} f_p \Big|_k T_-^{(1)}(m) \rangle (mp)^{-s} \\
&= p^{-s} L(2s-2k+4, \mathbb{1}_p) \sum_{m \geq 1} \langle f^*_{mp} \Big|_k T_+^{(1)}(m)\ ,\ f_p \rangle m^{-s} \\
&= p^{-s} \big((p - p^{2k-2s-1} \langle f_p, f_p \rangle \\
&\qquad + ((-1)^k p^{3-s-k} + p^{2-2s}) \langle f_p \Big|_k T_-^{(1)}(p) T_+^{(1)}(p)\ ,\ f_p \rangle \Big) Z_f(s) \\
&= p^{-s}(1-p^{k-2-s})(p+(-1)^k p^{k-s}) \langle f_p, f_p \rangle Z_f(s).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote the twist by $\mathbb{Z}_f^\chi$. Recalling $p \equiv 1\,(N)$ a straight forward calculation yields

$$\mathbb{Z}_f^\chi(s) = (-1)^k \frac{G_\chi^4}{N^2} \mathbb{Z}_f^{\overline{\chi}}(2k-2-s).$$

So finally we have to consider the indices of non vanishing Fourier-Jacobi coefficients.

Corollary 4. *Let $f \neq 0$ be a Siegel eigenform associated to the full modular group which is a cusp form. Then the spinor L-function associated to any primitive Dirichlet character χ with given conductor N satisfies the functional equation*

$$\mathbb{Z}_f^\chi(s) = (-1)^k \frac{G_\chi^4}{N^2} \mathbb{Z}_f^{\overline{\chi}}(2k-2-s).$$

Proof. The functional equation was proven in [7] if $f_1 \not\equiv 0$. Hence suppose $f_1 \equiv 0$. By the preceding calculations it suffices to prove that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a prime $p_N \equiv 1\ (N)$ such that $f_{p_N} \not\equiv 0$.

Fix N and f. We proceed by first showing that there is a non-vanishing Fourier coefficient of f whose integral but not necessarily even lattice index contains one vector v such that the associated quadratic form evaluated at v – which we will call its value – is a unit and a square mod N. Then we will deduce that we may choose such a lattice to be primitive. Finally we use the correspondence of values mod N represented by quadratic forms and ray classes of quadratic number fields to obtain p_N.

Suppose there is no integral lattice with non-vanishing Fourier coefficient which contains one such vector v. Let Λ be any primitive integral lattice. We will show that is Fourier coefficient vanishes, too. This follows immediately if there is a vector whose value is a unit and a square mod N. Otherwise consider Theorem (6.1) in [4]. According to [10] Λ contains infinitely many vectors whose value is a prime. One of them has value which is a unit mod N. Choose a prime $q \nmid N$ which is non-square mod N. Using Walling's notation Λ^q contains therefor a vector whose value is a unit and a square mod N and hence all Fourier coefficients associated to any lattice containing Λ^q vanish. Since f is an eigenform so does the Fourier coefficient associated to Λ. The lattice Λ was arbitrary primitive and hence this is a contradiction to Lemma (4.7) in [3].

There is an integral quadratic form which represents a squared unit mod N and whose Fourier coefficient doesn't vanish. We claim that there is such a quadratic form T which is primitive. We may chose a minimal value r, which is a square and a unit mod N, represented by any such form and consider the associated Fourier-Jacobi coefficient. Consider the proof of Theorem (4.7) in [3]. Using Gritsenko's notation the lines

$$\begin{aligned} f_d\{F|_k T(e)\}(\tau, z) &= (f_r\{F\}|_k T_+(e))(\tau, z) \quad \text{and} \\ f_r(\tau, z) \exp(2\pi\imath r\omega) &= \sum_{N = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ * & r \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_2} a(N) \exp(2\pi\imath(\mathrm{tr}(NZ))) \end{aligned}$$

only involve quadratic forms which represent a squared unit mod N. To see this in the first line note that $\frac{r}{e}\frac{a}{b}$ is a unit and a square mod N if $ab = e \mid r$. Hence the argument of Gritsenko yields the claimed existence of T.

Note that T also represents a value $a_N \equiv 1\ (N)$. We now use an idea presented for example by Zagier [10]. The matrix T corresponds to an ideal class in the narrow sense $\mathfrak{k}$ of an imaginary quadratic field $K = Q(\sqrt{-\det(T)})$. The existence of a_N yields an element of $\mathfrak{k}$ which has norm a_N over $\mathbb{Q}$. We now consider the ray class group associated to the principle ideal $(N) \subseteq \mathfrak{O}_K$ in the integers of K. We know that there is ray class $\mathfrak{k}'$ containing an element which has norm $a_N \equiv 1\ (N)$. Then by theorem VII(13.2) of [8] there are infinitely many splitted prime ideals in $\mathfrak{k}'$ by the same argument Zagier uses in [10, sec 6]. This yields the existence of p_N. $\square$

References

[1] Andrianov, A. N. *Quadratic Forms and Hecke Operators.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[2] Gritsenko, V. A. Arithmetical lifting and its applications. In David, Sinnou (ed.), Number theory. Séminaire de théorie des nombres de Paris 1992-93. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 103-126, 1995.

[3] Gritsenko, V. A. Modulformen zur Paramodulgruppe und Modulräume der abelschen Varietäten. *Mathematica Gottingensis*, **12**:1–89, 1995.

[4] Hafner, J. and L. Walling. Explicit action of Hecke operators on Siegel modular forms. *J. Number Theory*, **93**:34–57, 2002.

[5] Kohnen, W., A. Krieg and J. Sengupta. Characteristic twists of a Dirichlet series for Siegel cusp forms. *Manuscripta Math.*, **87**:489–499, 1995.

[6] Kohnen, W. and N. Skoruppa. A certain Dirichlet series attached to Siegel modular forms of degree two. *Invent. Math.*, **95**:541–558, 1989.

[7] Kuß, M. *Die Funktionalgleichung der getwisteted Spinorzetafunktion und die Böcherer Vermutung.* PhD thesis, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, 2002. (unpublished).

[8] Neukrich, J. *Algebraische Zahlentheorie.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[9] Terras, A. *Harmonic Analysis on Symmetrie Spaces and Applications I.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[10] Zagier, D. B. *Zetafunktionen und quadratische Körper.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.